

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/827,301	04/20/2004	Michael B. Zemel	31894-202099	2573
26694	7590 06/15/2006		EXAMINER	
VENABLE LLP			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
P.O. BOX 34 WASHING	4385 ΓΟΝ, DC 20045-9998		ART UNIT PAPER N	
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/827,301	ZEMEL ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Edward J. Webman	1616					
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress				
Period for	or Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	larch 2006.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛	☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
'	·		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			04				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	o in this National	Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` '//	.d					
	see the attached actained embe detion for a list	or the dertined doples het receive	u.					
Attachmen	ıt(s)							
· ==	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		D-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/29/06.</u> 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/827,301

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant's election of diabetes mellitus in the reply filed on 3/29/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out any errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Metz et al (AJH 1:58-60 1988).

Metz et al teach a reduction in body fat content in rats consuming higher diets of calcium (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to formulate a high calcium diet for an animal to achieve the beneficial effect of a reduction in body fat content in view of the Metz et al results.

As to the claimed reduced risk of diabetes, it is well known, even to the layman, that obesity increases the risk of diabetes, and, therefore, a method of reducing weight will reduce that risk. One of ordinary skill would further recognize that mammals in general are subject to this risk.

Art Unit: 1616

Applicants argue that Metz does not teach the claimed dairy products. However, one of ordinary skill, even the layman, recognizes that milk is a source of dietary calcium. Thus, it would be an obvious expedient to formulate milk as the vehicle for a high calcium diet. Applicants argue that Metz et al requires sodium in addition to calcium. However, they do not exclude sodium. As to the attached material showing beneficial effects and commercial success, such is directed to humans rather than the claimed non-human animal.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schroeder et al.

Schroeder et al teach a solid animal feed supplement containing calcium. It is poured into packages (abstract). Ruminants are disclosed (column 1 lines 50-54). As to the claimed pet food, such is merely an intended use. As to the claimed description, such a limitation is not considered patentable during prosecution of composition claims before the USPTO.

Applicants argue that Schroeder et al teach away from the claimed invention because the reference teaches weight maintenance or gain. However, that weight maintenance or gain is due to the molasses and/or fat in the composition (abstract).

Art Unit: 1616

Applicants do not exclude these ingredients. Applicants cite the MPEP for the proposition that printed matter functionally related to a composition can distinguish the composition over the prior art and then assert that such a functional relationship is present. However, the MPEP further states that the critical question is whether there exists any new and unobvious functional relationship between the new matter and the substrate. Applicants' instructions are a method of using which is obvious in light of Metz et al. Thus, although the asserted relationship may be present, it is obvious and, therefore, does not render the claim patentable.

Claims 1-10 rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Richter, can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GROUP 1500